

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 406 890

HE 030 048

TITLE Administrative Compensation Survey 1995-96.
INSTITUTION College and Univ. Personnel Association, Washington, D.C.
REPORT NO ISBN-1-878240-49-8
PUB DATE Jan96
NOTE 124p.; For previous edition, see ED 379 994.
AVAILABLE FROM College and University Personnel Association, 1223 20th Street, N.W., Suite 301, Washington, DC 20036-1250 (\$80 participating members; \$130 non-participating members; \$180 participating non-members; \$300 non-participating nonmembers).
PUB TYPE Numerical/Quantitative Data (110) -- Tests/Questionnaires (160)
EDRS PRICE Document Not Available from EDRS.
DESCRIPTORS *Administrators; *College Administration; Comparative Analysis; *Compensation (Remuneration); Higher Education; Minority Groups; *Salaries; Tables (Data); Trend Analysis; Two Year Colleges

ABSTRACT

This 19th annual administrative compensation survey is designed to provide the most comprehensive and timely data available for college and university administrators. The benchmarking resource comparison provides comparison salary data for 170 administrative positions, based on responses from 1,384 institutions. The positions include those in executive, academic, administrative, external affairs, and student services areas. Introductory material outlines the survey methodology, profiles participating institutions, and notes 1994-95 salary increases. Eight general tables provide data on all institutions by budget and enrollment quartiles and on public, private/non-religious, and private/religious institutions by budget and enrollment quartiles. Twenty comparative tables display data on salary percentiles for all doctoral institutions, all comprehensive institutions, all general baccalaureate institutions, and all two-year institutions, and then data by budget range within each institutional classification. Seven special tables offer data comparing males and females, minorities and non-minorities, and inside and outside hires, along with median years of service by institutional classification. Appendixes provide a list of responding institutions, a special study order form, institutional classification codes, a salary comparison worksheet, and a copy of the survey questionnaire packet. (MDM)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *
